arXiv:math/0412108v1 [math.FA] 6 Dec 2004

ON THE SINGULARITIES OF THE EXPONENTIAL MAP IN INFINITE DIMENSIONAL RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

LEONARDO BILIOTTI, RUY EXEL, PAOLO PICCIONE, AND DANIEL V. TAUSK

ABSTRACT. Using symplectic techniques and spectral analysis of smooth paths of self-adjoint operators, we characterize the set of conjugate instants along a geodesic in an infinite dimensional Riemannian Hilbert manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Riemannian Hilbert manifold. For $x\in M$ we denote by $\exp_x$ the exponential map of $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ defined in an open subset of T_xM. Let $\gamma:\left[a,b\right[\to M$ ($-\infty<a<b\le+\infty$) be a geodesic, so that $\gamma(t)=\exp_{\gamma(a)}\big((t-a)\gamma'(a)\big)$, for $t\in\left[a,b\right[$. We denote by $E_t:T_{\gamma(a)}M\to T_{\gamma(t)}M$ the differential of $\exp_{\gamma(a)}$ at $(t-a)\gamma'(a)$. An instant $t\in\left]a,b\right[$ is said to be *conjugate* along γ if E_t fails to be an isomorphism. Traditionally (see [2]), a conjugate instant t is called *monoconjugate* if E_t fails to be injective and *epiconjugate* if E_t fails to be surjective; the multiplicity of a monoconjugate instant t is defined as the dimension of the kernel of E_t. As it was already proven in [2], every conjugate instant is epiconjugate (see also Remark 5), and it is convenient to introduce the notion of *strictly epiconjugate* instant, to denote an instant $t\in\left]a,b\right[$ for which the range of E_t fails to be closed in $T_{\gamma(t)}M$. Unlike in finite-dimensional Riemannian geometry, conjugate instants along a geodesic can accumulate. The classical example of this phenomenon is given by an infinite dimensional ellipsoid in ℓ^2 whose axes form a non discrete subset of the real line (see [2]); in this example one has a sequence of monoconjugate instants converging to a strictly epiconjugate instant. The goal of the present article is to study the distribution of monoconjugate and strictly epiconjugate instants along a geodesic γ. We prove the following result:

Theorem. *Let $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ be a Riemannian Hilbert manifold and let $\gamma:\left[a,b\right[\to M$ ($-\infty<a<b\le+\infty$) be a geodesic; denote by $\mathcal{K}\subset\left]a,b\right[$ the set of conjugate instants and by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{m}}\subset\mathcal{K}$ the set of monoconjugate instants along γ. Then:*

(a) *$\mathcal{K}$ is closed in $\left[a,b\right[$;*
(b) *the set of strictly epiconjugate instants along γ coincides with the set $\mathcal{K}'$ of limit points of $\mathcal{K}$, so that $\mathcal{K}\setminus\mathcal{K}'\subset\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{m}}$;*
(c) *if M is modeled on a separable Hilbert space then $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is countable.*

Date: November 18th, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58B20.

The last two authors are partially sponsored by CNPq.

Conversely, given an interval $[a,b[$*, a set* $\mathcal{K}\subset\,]a,b[$ *that is closed in* $[a,b[$*, a subset* $\mathcal{K}_{\rm m}$ *of* $\mathcal{K}$ *containing* $\mathcal{K}\setminus\mathcal{K}'$ *and a map* $\mathfrak{m}:\mathcal{K}_{\rm m}\to\{1,2,\ldots,+\infty\}$ *then there exists a conformally flat Riemannian Hilbert manifold* $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ *and a geodesic* $\gamma:[a,b[\,\to M$ *such that the set of conjugate instants along* γ *is* $\mathcal{K}$*, the set of monoconjugate instants along* γ *is* $\mathcal{K}_{\rm m}$ *and the multiplicity of each* $t\in\mathcal{K}_{\rm m}$ *is* $\mathfrak{m}(t)$*. Moreover, if* $\mathcal{K}_{\rm m}$ *is countable then one can choose* M *to be modeled on a separable Hilbert space.*

The theorem above gives a complete characterization of the conjugate instants along a geodesic in a Riemannian Hilbert manifold. Observe that the Theorem implies that if there are no strictly epiconjugate instants along γ (for instance, when the exponential map is Fredholm) then the set of conjugate instants along any compact segment of γ is finite. This fact had already been proven by Misiołek in [4] under a certain technical hypothesis that also implies that the exponential map along a geodesic is Fredholm. We also prove a Morse Index Theorem for geodesics in Riemannian Hilbert manifolds in the case of absence of strictly epiconjugate instants.

The proof of the several statements in the thesis of our Theorem is scattered along the entire article. In Section 2 we give a sketchy introduction to the symplectic background necessary to follow the arguments presented in the article. In Section 3 we show how the study of conjugate instants can be reduced to the study of curves in the Lagrangian Grassmannian of a Hilbert symplectic space. In Section 4 we give the notion of symplectic system, which are first order differential equations in Hilbert spaces corresponding to the Jacobi equation along geodesics. In Section 5 we prove statements (a), (b) and (c) in the thesis of our Theorem. In Section 6 we characterize which curves of Lagrangians arise from Riemannian geodesics and in Section 7 we prove the last statement in the thesis of our Theorem. Finally, in Section 8, we prove a Morse Index Theorem.

2. HILBERT SYMPLECTIC SPACES AND THE LAGRANGIAN GRASSMANNIAN

A *Hilbert symplectic space* is a real Hilbert space $(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ endowed with a symplectic form; by a *symplectic form* we mean a skew symmetric bounded bilinear form $\omega:V\times V\to I\!R$ which is represented by a (anti self-adjoint) isomorphism of V. By replacing the inner product of V with an equivalent one we may always assume that $\omega=\langle J\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, where J is a orthogonal complex structure on V. A subspace S of V is called *isotropic* if ω vanishes on S, i.e., if $J(S)\subset S^\perp$. A maximal isotropic subspace of V is called *Lagrangian*; a subspace $L\subset V$ is Lagrangian if and only if $J(L)=L^\perp$. We denote by $\Lambda(V)$ the set of all Lagrangian subspaces of V and we call it the *Lagrangian Grassmannian* of V.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space; we will denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and by $\mathcal{B}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H})$ the closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of self-adjoint operators. We consider the orthogonal direct sum $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}$ endowed with the complex structure $J(x,y)=(-y,x)$.

Given $L_0, L_1 \in \Lambda(V)$ with $V = L_0 \oplus L_1$, we consider the map $\varphi_{L_0,L_1} : \mathcal{O}(L_1) \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(L_0)$ defined by $\varphi_{L_0,L_1}(L) = \rho_{L_1,L_0} T$, where:

$$\mathcal{O}(L_1) = \big\{ L \in \Lambda : V = L \oplus L_1 \big\},$$

$T : L_0 \to L_1$ is the bounded linear operator whose graph is L, and $\rho_{L_1,L_0} : L_1 \to L_0$ is the isomorphism given by $\rho_{L_1,L_0} = P_{L_0} J|_{L_1}$, where P_{L_0} denotes orthogonal projection onto L_0. The maps φ_{L_0,L_1} constitute a smooth atlas on Λ, so that Λ becomes a smooth Banach manifold. The isomorphism $\mathrm{d}\varphi_{L_0,L_1}(L_0) : T_{L_0}\Lambda \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(L_0)$ is independent of $L_1 \in \mathcal{O}(L_0)$ and thus for every $L \in \Lambda$ we identify the tangent space $T_L\Lambda$ with the Banach space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(L)$. For $L \in \mathcal{O}(L_1)$, the differential of the chart $\mathrm{d}\varphi_{L_0,L_1}$ at L is given by:

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi_{L_0,L_1}(L) \cdot H = \eta^* H \eta, \tag{2.1}$$

for all $H \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(L)$, where $\eta : L_0 \to L$ is the isomorphism given by the restriction to L_0 of the projection $L \oplus L_1 \to L$.

1**. Lemma.** *Given Lagrangians $L_0, L_1, L \in \Lambda(V)$ with $L_0, L \in \mathcal{O}(L_1)$ then:*

- (a) $\mathrm{Ker}\big(\varphi_{L_0,L_1}(L)\big) = L \cap L_0$;
- (b) $L + L_0 = V$ *if and only if* $\varphi_{L_0,L_1}(L)$ *is surjective;*
- (c) $L + L_0$ *is closed in* V *if and only if* $\varphi_{L_0,L_1}(L)$ *has closed range in* L_0.

Proof. Item (a) is trivial. Items (b) and (c) follow from the observation that the isomorphism $V = L_0 \oplus L_1 \ni (x, y) \mapsto \big(x, \rho_{L_1,L_0}(y)\big) \in L_0 \oplus L_0$ carries $L + L_0$ to $L_0 \oplus \mathrm{Im}\big(\varphi_{L_0,L_1}(L)\big)$. □

2. *Remark.* Given Lagrangians $L_0, L_1 \in \Lambda(V)$ then $(L_0 + L_1)^\perp = J(L_0 \cap L_1)$. Thus, the codimension of the closure of $L_0 + L_1$ in V equals the dimension of $L_0 \cap L_1$. In particular, (L_0, L_1) is a Fredholm pair if and only if $\dim(L_0 \cap L_1) < +\infty$ and $L_0 + L_1$ is closed in V.

A bounded linear map between between symplectic Hilbert spaces is called a *symplectomorphism* if it is an isomorphism that preserves the symplectic forms. The *symplectic group* $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is the Banach Lie group of symplectomorphisms of V, or equivalently, the group of bounded isomorphisms $T : V \to V$ satisfying the relation $T^* J T = J$, where T^* denotes the adjoint of T. The Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$, denoted by $\mathrm{sp}(V)$, consists of the bounded linear operators X on V satisfying $X^* J + J X = 0$. An element $X \in \mathrm{sp}(V)$ can be written in block-matrix form as:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B, C \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$.

The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ acts smoothly and transitively on Λ and for fixed $L_0 \in \Lambda$ the differential of the map $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \ni \Phi \mapsto \Phi(L_0) \in \Lambda$ at a point Φ in $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is given by:

$$T_\Phi \mathrm{Sp}(V) = \mathrm{sp}(V)\Phi \ni X\Phi \longmapsto P_{\Phi(L_0)} J X|_{\Phi(L_0)} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}\big(\Phi(L_0)\big). \tag{2.3}$$

3. THE CURVE OF LAGRANGIANS ASSOCIATED TO A GEODESIC

Let $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ be a Riemannian Hilbert manifold and let $\gamma:[a,b[\ \to M$ be a geodesic. We denote by R the curvature tensor of $(M,\mathfrak{g})$. The Jacobi equation $\frac{\mathrm{D}^2 v}{\mathrm{d}t^2}=R(\gamma',v)\gamma'$ along γ gives an isomorphism $\Phi_t:(T_{\gamma(a)}M)^{\mathbb{C}}\to(T_{\gamma(t)}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $\Phi_t\big(v(a),\frac{\mathrm{D}v}{\mathrm{d}t}(a)\big)=\big(v(t),\frac{\mathrm{D}v}{\mathrm{d}t}(t)\big)$, for every Jacobi field v along γ. The identity:

$$\mathfrak{g}\big(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}v}{\mathrm{d}t},w\big)-\mathfrak{g}\big(v,\tfrac{\mathrm{D}w}{\mathrm{d}t}\big)\equiv\text{constant},$$

satisfied for every Jacobi fields v, w along γ implies that the map Φ_t is a symplectomorphism. The symplectomorphism Φ_t restricts to a symplectomorphism from $(\gamma'(a)^\perp)^{\mathbb{C}}$ to $(\gamma'(t)^\perp)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and thus it carries Lagrangians of $(\gamma'(a)^\perp)^{\mathbb{C}}$ to Lagrangians of $(\gamma'(t)^\perp)^{\mathbb{C}}$. In what follows, we will denote by V the symplectic space $(\gamma'(a)^\perp)^{\mathbb{C}}$.

3. **Definition.** The curve $\xi:[a,b[\ \to\Lambda(V)$ defined by $\xi(t)=\Phi_t^{-1}\big(\{0\}\oplus\gamma'(t)^\perp\big)$ is called the *curve of Lagrangians associated to the geodesic* γ.

We will see later in Corollary 8 that ξ is smooth and that $\xi'(t)$ is a negative isomorphism of $\xi(t)$ for all $t\in[a,b[$.

4. *Remark.* For each $t\in[a,b[$, the differential E_t of the exponential map restricts to a bounded linear map on $\gamma'(a)^\perp$ taking values in $\gamma'(t)^\perp$; moreover, $E_t\big(\gamma'(a)\big)=\gamma'(t)$. It follows that the kernel of E_t is contained in $\gamma'(a)^\perp$ and that the range of E_t is closed in $T_{\gamma(t)}M$ if and only if $E_t\big(\gamma'(a)^\perp\big)$ is closed in $\gamma'(t)^\perp$. We may thus equivalently state the definitions of monoconjugate, epiconjugate, strictly epiconjugate points and multiplicities in terms of the singularities of the restriction of E_t to the orthogonal complement of γ.

The maps Φ_t and E_t are related by the identity $(t-a)E_t(x)=P_1\Phi_t(0,x)$, where P_1 denotes the projection onto the first summand of $(\gamma'(t)^\perp)^{\mathbb{C}}$. This implies that, setting $L_0=\{0\}\oplus\gamma'(a)^\perp$, we have for all $t\in\,]a,b[$:

- $\xi(t)\cap L_0=\{0\}\oplus\mathrm{Ker}(E_t)$, so that t is monoconjugate along γ if and only if $\xi(t)\cap L_0\neq\{0\}$;
- $\xi(t)+L_0=\Phi_t^{-1}\big(\mathrm{Im}(E_t)\oplus\gamma'(t)^\perp\big)$, so that:
  - ◇ t is epiconjugate along γ if and only if $\xi(t)+L_0\subsetneq V$;
  - ◇ t is strictly epiconjugate along γ if and only if $\xi(t)+L_0$ is not closed in V.

5. *Remark.* It follows from the items above and from Remark 2 that the codimension of the closure of the range of E_t is equal to the dimension of the kernel of E_t. In particular, all conjugate instants are epiconjugate and the operator E_t is Fredholm if and only if $\dim\big(\mathrm{Ker}(E_t)\big)<+\infty$ and $\mathrm{Im}(E_t)$ is closed.

4. SYMPLECTIC SYSTEMS

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space. A *symplectic system* in $\mathcal{H}$ is a smooth curve $X:[a,b[\ \to\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ $(-\infty<a<b\le+\infty)$. The curves $A:[a,b[\ \to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $B,C:[a,b[\ \to\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ corresponding to the block-matrix decomposition (2.2)

will be called the *components* of X. The symplectic system is called *positive* if $B(t)$ is a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ for all $t \in [a,b[$. The *fundamental solution* of the system X is the smooth curve $\Phi : [a,b[\to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ satisfying $\Phi'_t = X(t)\Phi_t$ for all $t \in [a,b[$ and $\Phi_a = \mathbf{1}$. We associate a smooth curve $\xi : [a,b[\to \Lambda(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ to a symplectic system X by setting $\xi(t) = \Phi_t^{-1}(L_0)$, where $L_0 = \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. An instant $t \in]a,b[$ is called *conjugate* for X if $\xi(t) \notin \mathcal{O}(L_0)$; we say that t is *monoconjugate*, *epiconjugate*, *strictly epiconjugate* respectively if $L_0 \cap \xi(t) \neq \{0\}$, $L_0 + \xi(t) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $L_0 + \xi(t)$ not closed in $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$. If t is monoconjugate then the *multiplicity* of t is the dimension of $L_0 \cap \xi(t)$.

6**. Example.** Let $(M, \mathfrak{g})$ be a Riemannian Hilbert manifold and let $\gamma : [a,b[\to M$ be a geodesic. Set $\mathcal{H} = \gamma'(a)^\perp$ and let $R_t : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be the self-adjoint operator that is conjugated to the operator $T_{\gamma(t)}M \ni v \mapsto R\big(\gamma'(t), v\big)\gamma'(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$ by parallel transport along γ. Then, the Jacobi equation along γ can be written as $v''(t) = R_t v(t)$. We define a symplectic system $X : [a,b[\to \mathrm{sp}(V)$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by setting $A(t) = 0$, $B(t) = \mathbf{1}$ and $C(t) = R_t$. The fundamental solution Φ of X is given by $\Phi_t\big(v(a), v'(a)\big) = \big(v(t), v'(t)\big)$, where $v : [a,b[\to \gamma'(a)^\perp$ is obtained from a Jacobi field along γ by parallel transport. It follows that the curve of Lagrangians ξ associated to the symplectic system X is equal to the curve of Lagrangians associated to γ (see Definition 3).

A symplectic system $X : [a,b[\to \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ with components A, B, C is called *Riemannian* if $A(t) = 0$ and $B(t) = \mathbf{1}$ for all $t \in [a,b[$.

7**. Lemma.** *If $\xi : [a,b[\to \Lambda(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the curve of Lagrangians associated to a symplectic system $X : [a,b[\to \mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ with components A, B, C then the derivative of ξ is given by:*

$$\langle \xi'(t)x, y\rangle = -\big\langle B(t)\Phi_t x, \Phi_t y\big\rangle, \quad x, y \in \xi(t),$$

for all $t \in [a,b[$, where $B(t)$ is identified with an element of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(L_0)$. In particular, a symplectic system X is positive if and only if $\xi'(t)$ is a negative isomorphism of $\xi(t)$ for all $t \in [a,b[$.

Proof. It is a simple computation using (2.3), observing that ξ is the composition of the fundamental solution Φ of X with the map $\Phi \mapsto \Phi(L_0)$. □

8**. Corollary.** *If $(M, \mathfrak{g})$ is a Riemannian Hilbert manifold, $\gamma : [a,b[\to M$ is a geodesic and $\xi : [a,b[\to \Lambda\big((\gamma'(a)^\perp)^{\mathbb{C}}\big)$ is the curve of Lagrangians associated to γ then ξ is smooth and $\xi'(t)$ is a negative isomorphism of $\xi(t)$ for all $t \in [a,b[$.* □

Two symplectic systems $X, \widetilde{X} : [a,b[\to \mathrm{sp}(V)$ are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists a smooth curve $\phi : [a,b[\to \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ with $\phi(t)(L_0) = L_0$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}_t = \phi(t)\Phi_t\phi(a)^{-1}$, for all $t \in [a,b[$, where Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ denote respectively the fundamental solutions of X and $\widetilde{X}$. We can write $\phi(t)$ in block-matrix form as:

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} Z & 0 \\ Z^{*-1}W & Z^{*-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $Z(t)$ is a bounded isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ and $W(t) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$, for all $t \in [a, b[$. The components of isomorphic systems X and $\widetilde{X}$ are related by the identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{A} &= ZAZ^{-1} - ZBWZ^{-1} + Z'Z^{-1},\\
\widetilde{B} &= ZBZ^*,\\
\widetilde{C} &= Z^{*-1}(WA + C - WBW + A^*W + W')Z^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The curves of Lagrangians ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ associated respectively to X and $\widetilde{X}$ are related by $\phi(a)\big(\xi(t)\big) = \tilde{\xi}(t)$, for all $t \in [a, b[$.

9**. Lemma.** *Every positive symplectic system is isomorphic to a Riemannian symplectic system.*

Proof. By setting $W = 0$ and $Z = B^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (4.1) we see that every positive symplectic system is isomorphic to one with $B = \mathbf{1}$. Let X be a symplectic system with $B = \mathbf{1}$. We set $W = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$ and Z to be the solution of the equation $Z' = \frac{1}{2}Z(A^* - A)$ with $Z(a) = \mathbf{1}$. Then the corresponding ϕ as in (4.1) gives the desired isomorphism. □

5. THE DISTRIBUTION OF CONJUGATE INSTANTS

In this section we will prove statements (a), (b) and (c) in the thesis of our Theorem. Using the ideas of Sections 3 and 4 and coordinate charts in the Lagrangian Grassmannian we reduce the problem of studying the distribution of conjugate points along a geodesic to the problem of studying the singularities of a curve of self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space having a positive isomorphism as its derivative. This reduction will now be made precise.

Let $(M, \mathfrak{g})$ be a Riemannian Hilbert manifold and let $\gamma : [a, b[\;\to M$ be a geodesic. Let $\xi : [a, b[\;\to \Lambda(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the curve of Lagrangians associated to γ, where $\mathcal{H} = \gamma'(a)^{\perp}$ (see Definition 3). We have seen in Corollary 8 that ξ is smooth and that $\xi'(t)$ is a negative isomorphism of $\xi(t)$ for all t. For any fixed $t_0 \in [a, b[$ we can find a Lagrangian $L_1 \in \mathcal{O}(L_0) \cap \mathcal{O}\big(\xi(t_0)\big)$ (see [7]) and thus consider a local representation of ξ around t_0 in the chart φ_{L_0,L_1}; namely, we set:

$$T(t) = -\varphi_{L_0,L_1}\big(\xi(t)\big) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(L_0) \cong \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H}), \tag{5.1}$$

for $t \in [a, b[$ near t_0, so that T is a smooth curve in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$. It follows from (2.1) that $T'(t)$ a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ for all t. It follows from Lemma 1 that t is monoconjugate, epiconjugate or strictly epiconjugate along γ respectively if $T(t)$ is not injective, not surjective or $\mathrm{Im}\big(T(t)\big)$ is not closed in $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the multiplicity of a monoconjugate instant t is equal to the dimension of $\mathrm{Ker}\big(T(t)\big)$.

In Subsections 5.1 and 5.2 we will prove both inclusions involved in statement (b) of our Theorem. The proof of statement (a) is obtained from the results of Subsection 5.2 as a simple observation (see Remark 22). Finally, in Subsection 5.3 we will prove statement (c).

5.1. **Strictly epiconjugate instants are not isolated.** The fact that strictly epiconjugate instants along a geodesic are not isolated in the set of conjugate instants is obtained from the following:

10**. Proposition.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space and let $t \mapsto T(t) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be a differentiable curve around $t_0 \in I\!R$ such that $T'(t_0)$ is a positive isomorphism of the space $\mathcal{H}$. If the range of $T(t_0)$ is not closed then t_0 is a limit point of the set $\big\{t : T(t)$ is not invertible$\big\}$.*

The proof of Proposition 10 requires several preliminary results. We denote by $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of a bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$.

11**. Lemma.** *Let $T, H \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ and assume that $\alpha\mathbf{1} \le H \le \beta\mathbf{1}$, for some scalars $\alpha, \beta \in I\!R$. For any $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ there exists $\mu \in \sigma(T + H) \cap [\lambda + \alpha, \lambda + \beta]$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\sigma(T + H) \cap [\lambda + \alpha, \lambda + \beta] = \emptyset$. Write $[\lambda + \alpha, \lambda + \beta] = [\lambda_0 - r, \lambda_0 + r]$. Then $\sigma(T + H - \lambda_0\mathbf{1}) \cap [-r, r] = \emptyset$, so $T + H - \lambda_0\mathbf{1}$ is invertible and $\sigma\big((T + H - \lambda_0\mathbf{1})^{-1}\big) \subset \left]-\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r}\right[$; thus:

$$\|(T + H - \lambda_0\mathbf{1})^{-1}\|^{-1} > r. \tag{5.2}$$

Since $\alpha\mathbf{1} \le H \le \beta\mathbf{1}$, we have $\sigma\big(H + (\lambda - \lambda_0)\mathbf{1}\big) \subset [-r, r]$. Hence, by (5.2):

$$\|H + (\lambda - \lambda_0)\mathbf{1}\| \le r < \|(T + H - \lambda_0\mathbf{1})^{-1}\|^{-1};$$

it follows that $T - \lambda\mathbf{1} = (T + H - \lambda_0\mathbf{1}) - \big(H + (\lambda - \lambda_0)\mathbf{1}\big)$ is invertible, contradicting $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$. ☐

12**. Corollary.** *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be fixed. Given $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, there exists $\mu \in \sigma(B)$ with $|\lambda - \mu| \le \|B - A\|$.*

Proof. Set $T = A$, $H = B - A$, $\alpha = -\|B - A\|$ and $\beta = \|B - A\|$ in Lemma 11. ☐

In what follows, we denote by $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of bounded invertible self-adjoint linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and by $\mathcal{N}$ the set:

$$\mathcal{N} = \big\{A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H}) : \sigma(A) \cap \left]-\infty, 0\right[\ne \emptyset\big\}.$$

13**. Corollary.** *The following assertions hold:*

- *the set $\mathcal{N}$ is open in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$;*
- *the set $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ is closed in $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$;*
- *the map $\phi : \mathcal{N} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H}) \ni A \mapsto \max\big(\sigma(A) \cap \left]-\infty, 0\right[\big)$ is continuous.*

Proof. If $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \cap \left]-\infty, 0\right[$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $\|B - A\| < |\lambda|$ then, by Corollary 12, $B \in \mathcal{N}$. This proves that $\mathcal{N}$ is open in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$. If $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ is not in $\mathcal{N}$ then $A \ge c\mathbf{1}$ for some $c > 0$; thus, if $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $\|B - A\| \le \frac{c}{2}$ we have $B \ge \frac{c}{2}\mathbf{1}$, which implies $B \notin \mathcal{N}$. This proves that $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ is closed in $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $A \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be fixed. Set $\lambda = \phi(A)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $c > 0$ with $\sigma(A) \cap [0, c] = \emptyset$. Given $B \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|B - A\| < \min\{|\lambda|, \varepsilon, c\}$ we claim that $\big|\phi(B) - \lambda\big| < \varepsilon$. Since $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$, Corollary 12 gives us $\mu \in \sigma(B)$ with $|\mu - \lambda| < \min\{|\lambda|, \varepsilon\}$; thus $\mu < 0$ and

$\phi(B) \ge \mu > \lambda - \varepsilon$. On the other hand, since $\phi(B) \in \sigma(B)$, Corollary 12 gives us $\rho \in \sigma(A)$ with $\big|\rho - \phi(B)\big| < \min\{\varepsilon, c\}$. Thus, since $\sigma(A) \cap [0, c] = \emptyset$, ρ cannot be positive and hence $\rho \le \lambda$; it follows that $\phi(B) < \rho + \varepsilon \le \lambda + \varepsilon$, which proves the claim and the continuity of ϕ. ☐

14**. Lemma.** *Let $\lambda : I \to I\!R$ be a continuous map defined on an interval $I \subset I\!R$ and let $c \in I\!R$ be fixed. Assume that for all $x \in I$ there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that:*

$$\lambda(y) - \lambda(x) \ge c(y - x), \tag{5.3}$$

for all $y \in I \cap [x, x + \delta_x[$. Then inequality (5.3) *holds for all $x, y \in I$ with $x \le y$.*

Proof. The map $I \ni t \mapsto \lambda(t) - ct$ is continuous and locally non decreasing and hence it is non decreasing on I. ☐

15**. Proposition.** *Let $T : [a, b] \to \mathcal{B}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H})$ be a differentiable map with $T'(t) \ge \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. If $\lambda_0 \in \sigma\big(T(a)\big) \cap \,]-\infty, 0[$ and $b - a \ge 2|\lambda_0|$ then $T(t)$ is not invertible for some $t \in [a, b]$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $T(t) \in \mathrm{GL}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. Since $T(a) \in \mathcal{N}$, Corollary 13 implies that $T(t) \in \mathcal{N}$ for all $t \in [a, b]$ (by connectedness) and that the map $\lambda : [a, b] \ni t \mapsto \phi\big(T(t)\big) \in \,]-\infty, 0[$ is continuous. We will show that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, then for all $t \in [a, b]$ there exists $\delta_{t,\varepsilon} > 0$ such that:

$$\lambda(s) - \lambda(t) \ge \big(\tfrac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\big)(s - t), \tag{5.4}$$

for all $s \in [a, b] \cap [t, t + \delta_{t,\varepsilon}[$. If (5.4) holds then Lemma 14 would give us:

$$\lambda(b) \ge \lambda(a) + \big(\tfrac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\big)(b - a);$$

taking the limit for $\varepsilon \to 0$ we would get:

$$\lambda(b) \ge \lambda(a) + \frac{1}{2}(b - a) \ge \lambda_0 + |\lambda_0| \ge 0,$$

contradicting $\lambda(b) < 0$.

To prove (5.4), let $t \in [a, b]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For all $s \in [t, b]$ sufficiently close to t, we have $\|T(s) - T(t) - (s - t)T'(t)\| \le \varepsilon(s - t)$, which implies:

$$T(s) - T(t) - (s - t)T'(t) \ge -\varepsilon(s - t)\mathbf{1}.$$

Thus:

$$\|T(s) - T(t)\|\mathbf{1} \ge T(s) - T(t) \ge \big(T'(t) - \varepsilon\mathbf{1}\big)(s - t) \ge \big(\tfrac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\big)(s - t)\mathbf{1},$$

for all $s \in [t, b]$ sufficiently close to t. Since $\lambda(t) \in \sigma\big(T(t)\big)$, Lemma 11 gives us $\mu \in \sigma\big(T(s)\big)$ with:

$$\lambda(t) + \|T(s) - T(t)\| \ge \mu \ge \lambda(t) + \big(\tfrac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\big)(s - t).$$

Thus, for $s \in [t, b]$ sufficiently close to t, we have $\mu < 0$ and hence:

$$\lambda(s) \ge \mu \ge \lambda(t) + \big(\tfrac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\big)(s - t),$$

which proves (5.4). ☐

16**. Lemma.** *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H})$ and assume that $\sigma(T) \cap \,]c, 0[= \emptyset$, for some $c < 0$. If H is a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ with $\|H\| < |c|$ then $T + H$ is invertible.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = \min \sigma(H) > 0$, so that $-\|H\|\mathbf{1} \le -H \le -\varepsilon\mathbf{1}$. If $0 \in \sigma(T+H)$, Lemma 11 would give us $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ with $c < -\|H\| \le \lambda \le -\varepsilon < 0$, contradicting our hypothesis on $\sigma(T)$. $\square$

17**. Corollary.** *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that 0 is a limit point of $\sigma(T) \cap]-\infty, 0[$ and let P be a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$. Then 0 is a limit point of $\sigma(PTP) \cap]-\infty, 0[$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\sigma(PTP) \cap]c, 0[= \emptyset$, for some $c < 0$. We claim that $\big]c\|P\|^{-2}, 0\big[$ is disjoint from $\sigma(T)$. Given $\lambda \in \big]c\|P\|^{-2}, 0\big[$ then:

$$T - \lambda\mathbf{1} = P^{-1}(PTP - \lambda P^2)P^{-1},$$

where $-\lambda P^2$ is a positive isomorphism whose norm is less than $|c|$. The conclusion follows from Lemma 16. $\square$

18**. Lemma.** *Let $T : [t_0, t_0 + \delta[\to \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be a differentiable curve with $T'(t_0)$ a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$. Assume that 0 is a limit point of $\sigma\big(T(t_0)\big) \cap]-\infty, 0[$. Then 0 is a limit point of the set $\big\{t \in]t_0, t_0 + \delta[\, : T(t)$ is not invertible$\big\}$.*

Proof. Replacing $T(t)$ with $T'(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}T(t)T'(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and keeping in mind Corollary 17, we may assume that $T'(t_0) = \mathbf{1}$; by continuity we may therefore assume that $T'(t) \ge \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}$, for all t. Let $\varepsilon \in]0, \delta[$ be given. We will show that $T(t)$ is not invertible for some $t \in]t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon]$. Let $\mu \in \sigma\big(T(t_0)\big) \cap \big]-\frac{\varepsilon}{8}, 0\big[$ and let $t_1 \in \big]0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\big[$ be such that $\|T(t_1) - T(t_0)\| < \min\big\{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}, |\mu|\big\}$. Then, by Corollary 12, there exists $\lambda_1 \in \sigma\big(T(t_1)\big)$ such that $|\mu - \lambda_1| < \min\big\{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}, |\mu|\big\}$; thus $-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} < \lambda_1 < 0$. Note that:

$$\varepsilon - t_1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 2|\lambda_1|.$$

Applying Proposition 15 to the restriction of T to $[t_1, t_0 + \varepsilon]$, we get that $T(t)$ is not invertible for some t in $[t_1, t_0 + \varepsilon]$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 10. Since $T(t_0)$ is self-adjoint and its range is not closed, 0 is a limit point of $\sigma\big(T(t_0)\big)$. If 0 is a limit point of $\sigma\big(T(t_0)\big) \cap]-\infty, 0[$, the conclusion follows directly from Lemma 18. If 0 is a limit point of $\sigma\big(T(t_0)\big) \cap]0, +\infty[$, apply Lemma 18 to the curve $t \mapsto -T(-t)$. $\square$

5.2. **Non isolated conjugate instants are strictly epiconjugate.**

The fact that non isolated conjugate instants along a geodesic are strictly epiconjugate is obtained from the following:

19**. Proposition.** *Let $T : I \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be a curve of class C^1 defined in some interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $T(t_0)$ has closed range in $\mathcal{H}$ and that $P_N T'(t_0)|_N$ is a positive isomorphism of $N = \mathrm{Ker}\big(T(t_0)\big)$ for some $t_0 \in I$ (this is the case, for instance, if $T'(t_0)$ is a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$). Then $T(t)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ for $t \ne t_0$ near t_0 in I.*

In order to prove Proposition 19 we need a preliminary result.

20**. Lemma.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ be an orthogonal direct sum decomposition for $\mathcal{H}$ into closed subspaces. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be such* *that there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *with* $\langle Ax, x\rangle \geq c\langle x, x\rangle$ *for all* $x \in \mathcal{H}_1$ *and* $\langle Ay, y\rangle \leq -c\langle y, y\rangle$*, for all* $y \in \mathcal{H}_2$*. Then* A *is an isomorphism of* $\mathcal{H}$*.*

Proof. We can write A in block-matrix form as $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_1 & B^* \\ B & A_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $A_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ and $B : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ is a bounded linear map. Our hypothesis tells us that A_1 is a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_1$ and A_2 is a negative isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_2$. Given $(x, y), (u, v) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$, the condition $A(x, y) = (u, v)$ is equivalent to $y = A_2^{-1}(v - Bx)$ and:

$$(A_1 - B^* A_2^{-1} B)x = u - B^* A_2^{-1} v.$$

Since A_1 is a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $-B^* A_2^{-1} B$ is a positive operator on $\mathcal{H}_1$, then $A_1 - B^* A_2^{-1} B$ is a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_1$. The conclusion follows. □

Proof of Proposition 19. Since $T(t_0)$ is self-adjoint and has closed range, we can find a orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_- \oplus N$ of $\mathcal{H}$ into closed $T(t_0)$-invariant subspaces such that $T(t_0)|_{\mathcal{H}_+}$ (resp., $T(t_0)|_{\mathcal{H}_-}$) is a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_+$ (resp., a negative isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_-$). Obviously there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\langle T(t)x, x\rangle \leq -c\langle x, x\rangle$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}_-$, for t near t_0; by Lemma 20, it suffices to show that for $t \neq t_0$ near t_0 there exists $c(t) > 0$ with $\langle T(t)z, z\rangle \geq c(t)\langle z, z\rangle$ for all $z \in \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus N$. Using $T(t) = T(t_0) + T'(t_0)(t - t_0) + \mathrm{o}(t - t_0)$, we can find constants $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for all $t \in \left]t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon\right[\cap I$:

$$\langle T(t)x, x\rangle \geq c_1, \quad \langle T(t)y, y\rangle \geq c_2(t - t_0), \quad \big|\langle T(t)x, y\rangle\big| \leq c_3(t - t_0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}_+$, $y \in N$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. Thus, for $t > t_0$ sufficiently near t_0:

$$\big|\langle T(t)x, y\rangle\big| \leq \frac{1}{2}\langle T(t)x, x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle T(t)y, y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}_+$, $y \in N$. Hence, for $z = x + y \in \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus N$:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T(t)z, z\rangle \geq \langle T(t)x, x\rangle + \langle T(t)y, y\rangle - \langle T(t)x, x\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle T(t)y, y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \geq \frac{1}{2}\big(\langle T(t)x, x\rangle + \langle T(t)y, y\rangle\big) \geq c(t)\langle z, z\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

for $t > t_0$ sufficiently near t_0, where $c(t) = \frac{1}{2}\min\{c_1, c_2(t - t_0)\}$. For $t < t_0$ near t_0, a similar argument shows that $\langle T(t)z, z\rangle \leq \bar{c}(t)\langle z, z\rangle$, for all $z \in \mathcal{H}_- \oplus N$ and some negative constant $\bar{c}(t)$; we get again from Lemma 20 that $T(t)$ is an isomorphism. □

Using the techniques above we obtain easily a result concerning the variation of the Morse index of a path of self-adjoint operators. The *Morse index* of a symmetric bilinear form on a real vector space is the supremum of the dimensions of all subspaces on which it is negative definite; given an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$, we define the *Morse index* of A to be the Morse index of the corresponding bilinear form $\langle A\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $\mathcal{H}$.

21**. Proposition.** *Under the assumption of Proposition 19, for $t > t_0$ near t_0 in I, the Morse index of $T(t)$ is equal to the Morse index of $T(t_0)$ and for $t < t_0$ near t_0 in I, the Morse index of $T(t)$ is equal to the Morse index of $T(t_0)$ plus the dimension of the kernel of $T(t_0)$.*

Proof. Follows from the proof of Proposition 19 and from the following observation: if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$, with $\langle T(t)\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ positive semi-definite on $\mathcal{H}_1$ and negative definite on $\mathcal{H}_2$ then the Morse index of $T(t)$ is equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_2$. ☐

22. *Remark.* Proposition 19 implies in particular that given a geodesic $\gamma : [a, b[\to M$ then there are no conjugate instants t near a. Namely, the curve T in (5.1) corresponding to γ near a satisfies $T(a) = 0$ and thus Proposition 19 implies that $T(t)$ is invertible for $t > a$ near a. This observation and the fact that the set of isomorphisms of a Hilbert space is open in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ implies that the set of conjugate instants along γ is closed in $[a, b[$.

5.3. **The set of monoconjugate instants is countable in the separable case.** The fact that the set of monoconjugate instants along a geodesic on a Riemannian Hilbert manifold modeled on a separable Hilbert space is countable is obtained from the following:

23**. Proposition.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space. Let $T : I \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be a C^1 curve with $T'(t)$ a positive isomorphism for all $t \in I$. Then the set:*

$$K_{\mathrm{m}} = \big\{ t \in I : \mathrm{Ker}\big(T(t)\big) \neq \{0\} \big\}$$

is countable.

Proof. It suffices to show that the intersection of K_{m} with a small neighborhood of some fixed $t_0 \in I$ is countable. By replacing $T(t)$ with $T'(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} T(t) T'(t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ we may assume that $T'(t_0) = \mathbf{1}$. Applying the mean value inequality to the curve $t \mapsto T(t) - \mathbf{1}$ we get:

$$\|T(t) - T(s) - (t - s)\mathbf{1}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}|t - s|, \tag{5.5}$$

for $t, s \in I$ sufficiently close to t_0. For each $t \in K_{\mathrm{m}}$, choose a unitary vector $v(t)$ in the kernel of $T(t)$. We have:

$$|t - s| \, |\langle v(t), v(s)\rangle| = \big|\big\langle \big(T(t) - T(s) - (t - s)\mathbf{1}\big) v(t), v(s) \big\rangle\big|,$$

so that, by inequality (5.5), we have $\big|\langle v(t), v(s)\rangle\big| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for distinct $t, s \in K_{\mathrm{m}}$ sufficiently close to t_0. This implies that $\|v(t) - v(s)\| \geq 1$ and concludes the proof. ☐

6. CONSTRUCTING A GEODESIC FROM A CURVE OF LAGRANGIANS

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space. Let us show now that every Riemannian symplectic system in $\mathcal{H}$ originates from a Riemannian geodesic:

24**. Lemma.** *Let $R : [a, b[\to \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be a smooth curve and consider the Riemannian symplectic system $X = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ R & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Consider the Riemannian manifold*

$(M,\mathfrak{g})$, where $M=\mathcal{H}\oplus I\!R$, $\mathfrak{g}=e^{\Omega}\mathfrak{g}_0$, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the inner product of the orthogonal direct sum $\mathcal{H}\oplus I\!R$ and $\Omega: M\to I\!R$ is defined by $\Omega(x,t)=\langle R(t)x,x\rangle$, for all[1] *$t\in I\!R$, $x\in\mathcal{H}$. Then $\gamma:[a,b[\ \ni t\mapsto(0,t)\in M$ is a geodesic of $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ and X is the symplectic system corresponding to $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ and γ as in Example 6.*

Proof. A direct computation shows that the Christoffel symbols of $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ vanish along the axis $\{0\}\times I\!R$ and thus γ is a geodesic. The conclusion follows from a straightforward computation of the curvature tensor of $(M,\mathfrak{g})$. $\square$

As in Section 4, we denote by L_0 the Lagrangian $\{0\}\oplus\mathcal{H}$. If $\xi:[a,b[\ \to\Lambda$ is a curve with $\xi(a)=L_0$ then a *lifting* of ξ is a map $\psi:[a,b[\ \to\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ with $\psi(a)=\mathbf{1}$ and $\psi(t)(L_0)=\xi(t)$, for all $t\in[a,b[$.

25**. Lemma.** *Every smooth curve $\xi:[a,b[\ \to\Lambda$ with $\xi(a)=L_0$ admits a smooth lifting.*

Proof. For each $t\in[a,b[$, set $X(t)=-J\xi'(t)P_{\xi(t)}\in\mathrm{sp}(V)$ and consider the solution Φ of the ODE $\Phi_t'=X(t)\Phi_t$ satisfying the initial condition $\Phi_a=\mathbf{1}$. A simple computation using (2.3) shows that both $t\mapsto\Phi_t(L_0)$ and ξ are integral curves of the time-dependent vector field $\mathcal{V}(t)(L)=P_LJX(t)|_L\in T_L\Lambda$ on Λ, both starting at L_0. Hence the two curves coincide and Φ is a lifting of ξ. $\square$

26**. Lemma.** *If $\xi:[a,b[\ \to\Lambda$ is a smooth curve with $\xi(a)=L_0$ then there exists a symplectic system $X:[a,b[\ \to\mathrm{sp}(V)$ whose associated curve in Λ is ξ.*

Proof. By Lemma 25, we can find a smooth lifting $\psi:[a,b[\ \to\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ of ξ. The conclusion is obtained by setting $X(t)=-\psi(t)^{-1}\psi'(t)$, for all t, observing that the fundamental solution of X is given by $\Phi_t=\psi(t)^{-1}\psi(a)$. $\square$

27**. Lemma.** *Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $U\subset E$ be a connected open set, $u\in U$ a fixed point, $\bar\tau:[c,b[\ \to U$ a smooth curve and $a\in I\!R$, $a<c$. Then there exists $M>0$ such that for all $\eta>0$ there exists a smooth extension $\tau:[a,b[\ \to U$ of $\bar\tau$ with the following properties:*

- *$\int_a^c\tau=u(c-a)$;*
- *$\big\|\tau|_{[a,c]}\big\|_\infty=\sup_{t\in[a,c]}\|\tau(t)\|\le M$;*
- *$\tau|_{[a,c-\eta]}$ is constant.*

Proof. Let $r>0$ be such that the open ball $B(u;r)$ of center u and radius r is contained in U and choose a smooth curve $\tilde\gamma:[c-1,b[\ \to U$ such that $\tilde\gamma(c-1)=u$ and $\tilde\gamma|_{[c,b[}=\bar\tau$. Set $M=\|u\|+1+\|\tilde\gamma\|_\infty$ and choose $\varepsilon>0$ small enough such that $\varepsilon<\eta$ and

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{c-a-\varepsilon}\|\tilde\gamma-u\|_\infty<\min\{r,1\}. \tag{6.1}$$

Now, let $\gamma:[c-\varepsilon,b[\ \to U$ be a smooth non decreasing reparameterization of $\tilde\gamma$ such that $\gamma|_{[c,b[}=\bar\tau$ and $\gamma|_{[c-\varepsilon,c-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}]}\equiv u$. Choose smooth functions ϕ_1,ϕ_2 :

---

[1] Here we consider an arbitrary smooth extension of R to the real line.

$[a,b[\,\to[0,1]$ with $\phi_1+\phi_2\equiv 1$ and such that the support of ϕ_1 is contained in $\big[a,c-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\big[$ and the support of ϕ_2 is contained in $]c-\varepsilon,b]$. Finally set:

$$\delta=\frac{-\int_a^c\phi_2(\gamma-u)}{\int_a^c\phi_1},$$

and define $\tau=\phi_1(u+\delta)+\phi_2\gamma$. To check that such τ works observe that $\|\delta\|$ is less than or equal to the left hand side of (6.1). $\square$

28**. Corollary.** *Let $\bar\sigma:[c,b[\,\to\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ be a smooth map such that $\bar\sigma(c)$ and $\bar\sigma'(t)$ are negative isomorphisms for all $t\in[c,b[$. Then, given $a<c$ there exists a smooth extension $\sigma:[a,b[\,\to\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ of $\bar\sigma$ such that $\sigma(a)=0$, $\sigma(t)$ is a negative isomorphism, for all $t\in\,]a,c]$ and $\sigma'(t)$ is a negative isomorphism for all $t\in[a,b[$.*

Proof. Simply apply Lemma 27 to the following objects:

- $E=\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$;
- $U=\big\{B\in\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H}):B$ is a negative isomorphism$\big\}$;
- $u=\dfrac{\bar\sigma(c)}{c-a}$;
- $\bar\tau=\bar\sigma'$;
- $\eta>0$ is chosen small enough so that $\eta M<r$, where $r>0$ is such that the open ball $B\big(\bar\sigma(c);r\big)$ is contained in U.

Finally, for $t\in[a,b[$ define $\sigma(t)=\int_a^t\tau$. $\square$

29**. Proposition.** *Let $\bar\xi:[c,b[\,\to\Lambda$ be a smooth curve with $\bar\xi(c)\in\mathcal{O}(L_0)$ and such that $\bar\xi'(t)$ a negative isomorphism of $\bar\xi(t)$ for all $t\in[c,b[$. Then, given $a<c$, there exists a smooth extension $\xi:[a,b[\,\to\Lambda$ of $\bar\xi$ with $\xi(a)=L_0$, $\xi(t)\in\mathcal{O}(L_0)$, for all $t\in\,]a,c[$ and $\xi'(t)$ a negative isomorphism of $\xi(t)$, for all $t\in[a,b[$.*

Proof. Let $L_1\in\Lambda$ be the Lagrangian such that $\varphi_{L_0,\bar\xi(c)}(L_1)$ is the identity of L_0; in particular, L_1 is transversal to both L_0 and $\bar\xi(c)$. It is easily seen that $\varphi_{L_0,L_1}\big(\bar\xi(c)\big)=-\mathbf{1}$. Let $b'\in\,]c,b]$ be such that $\bar\xi([c,b'])$ is contained in the domain $\mathcal{O}(L_1)$ of the chart φ_{L_0,L_1} and define $\bar\sigma:[c,b']\to\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(L_0)\cong\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\bar\sigma=\varphi_{L_0,L_1}\circ\bar\xi|_{[c,b']}$. The conclusion follows by an application of Corollary 28 to $\bar\sigma$, keeping in mind that if $\sigma=\varphi_{L_0,L_1}\circ\xi$ then:

- $\xi(a)=L_0\Leftrightarrow\sigma(a)=0$;
- $\xi(t)\in\mathcal{O}(L_0)\Leftrightarrow\sigma(t)$ is an isomorphism;
- by formula (2.1), $\xi'(t)$ is a negative isomorphism of $\xi(t)$ if and only if $\sigma'(t)=\mathrm{d}\varphi_{L_0,L_1}\big(\xi(t)\big)\cdot\xi'(t)$ is a negative isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$. $\square$

30**. Proposition.** *Let $\xi:[c,b[\,\to\Lambda(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ be a smooth curve of Lagrangians such that $\xi'(t)$ is a negative isomorphism of the space $\xi(t)$ for all $t\in[c,b[$ and such that $\xi(c)\in\mathcal{O}(L_0)$. Then, given $a<c$, there exists a symplectomorphism $\phi\in\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ with $\phi(L_0)=L_0$ and a Riemannian metric $\mathfrak{g}$ on $M=\mathcal{H}\times I\!R$, conformally equivalent to the product metric, such that $\gamma:[a,b[\,\ni t\mapsto(0,t)\in M$ is a geodesic without conjugate instants in $]a,c]$ and such that $[c,b[\,\ni t\mapsto\phi\big(\xi(t)\big)\in\Lambda$ is the restriction to $[c,b[$ of the curve of Lagrangians associated to γ.*

Proof. Extend ξ to $[a,b[$ as in the statement of Proposition 29. Apply Lemma 26 and get a symplectic system X; by Lemma 7, X is positive and thus by Lemma 9, X is isomorphic to a Riemannian symplectic system $\widetilde{X}$. The conclusion follows from Lemma 24. $\square$

7. CONSTRUCTING A CURVE OF LAGRANGIANS WITH PRESCRIBED SINGULARITIES

Given a smooth curve $T : [c,b[\to \mathcal{B}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with $T(c)$ an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ and $T'(t)$ a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ for all $t \in [c,b[$, we can produce a smooth curve of Lagrangians $\xi : [c,b[\to \Lambda(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})$ satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 30 by setting $\xi(t) = \varphi^{-1}_{L_0,L_1}\big(-T(t)\big)$, where $L_0 = \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ and $L_1 = \mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$ (see also (2.1)). Let $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ and $\gamma : [a,b[\to M$ be given by Proposition 30. It follows from Lemma 1 that t is monoconjugate, epiconjugate or strictly epiconjugate along γ respectively if $T(t)$ is not injective, not surjective or $\mathrm{Im}\big(T(t)\big)$ is not closed in $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the multiplicity of a monoconjugate instant t is equal to the dimension of $\mathrm{Ker}\big(T(t)\big)$. In this section we will prove the second part of the statement of our Theorem. In view of the preceding remarks, it suffices to show the following:

31**. Proposition.** *Let $\mathcal{K} \subset\,]c,b[$ be closed in $[c,b[$ and let $\mathcal{K}_{\rm m}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{K}$ that contains $\mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{K}'$. Let $\mathfrak{m} : \mathcal{K}_{\rm m} \to \{1,2,\ldots,+\infty\}$ be a map. Then there exists a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a smooth curve $T : [c,b[\to \mathcal{B}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H})$ such that:*

- *$T'(t)$ is a positive isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ for all t;*
- *$T(t)$ is not invertible if and only if $t \in \mathcal{K}$;*
- *$T(t)$ is not injective if and only if $t \in \mathcal{K}_{\rm m}$, in which case the dimension of $\mathrm{Ker}\big(T(t)\big)$ is $\mathfrak{m}(t)$.*

If $\mathcal{K}_{\rm m}$ is countable we can choose $\mathcal{H}$ to be separable.

32**. Lemma.** *Let K be a compact subset of the real line and let $K_{\rm m}$ be a subset of K that contains $K \setminus K'$. Let $\mathfrak{m} : K_{\rm m} \to \{1,2,\ldots,+\infty\}$ be a map. Then there exists a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and bounded self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_{\rm sa}(\mathcal{H})$ whose spectrum is K, whose set of eigenvalues is $K_{\rm m}$ and such that for each $t \in K_{\rm m}$, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue t is equal to $\mathfrak{m}(t)$. If $K_{\rm m}$ is countable we can choose $\mathcal{H}$ to be separable.*

Proof. The proof will be split into steps.

- *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a non empty complete metric space without isolated points then $\mathcal{X}$ contains a subset F homeomorphic to the Cantor set $\{0,1\}^{I\!N}$ and in particular $\mathcal{X}$ is uncountable and it admits a Borel probability measure that vanishes on each singleton $\{x\}$.*

  One can construct a family of non empty closed sets $F_{\epsilon_1\ldots\epsilon_k} \subset \mathcal{X}$ indexed on finite sequences of 0's and 1's such that the diameter of $F_{\epsilon_1\ldots\epsilon_k}$ is less than $\frac{1}{k}$, $F_{\epsilon_1\ldots\epsilon_k} \supset F_{\epsilon_1\ldots\epsilon_k\epsilon_{k+1}}$ and $F_{\epsilon_1\ldots\epsilon_k 0} \cap F_{\epsilon_1\ldots\epsilon_k 1} = \emptyset$. Thus, the map $\phi : \{0,1\}^{I\!N} \to \mathcal{X}$ such that $\phi(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\ldots)$ is the only point of $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{\epsilon_1\ldots\epsilon_k}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image F. A Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{X}$

that vanishes on singletons and is supported on F can be constructed using the classical Cantor–Lebesgue function.

- *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a complete separable metric space in which all non empty open subsets are uncountable then there exists a finite Borel measure μ on $\mathcal{X}$ that vanishes on singletons and such that $\mu(U) > 0$ for every non empty open subset U of $\mathcal{X}$.*

  Let $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a countable basis of non empty open subsets of $\mathcal{X}$. Since U_n is a non empty topologically complete metric space without isolated points, there exists a Borel probability measure p_n on U_n that vanishes on singletons. Set $\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} p_n(A \cap U_n)$, for every Borel subset A of $\mathcal{X}$.

- *If $\mathcal{O}$ denotes the union of all countable open subsets of K then $\mathcal{O}$ is countable and it is contained in the closure of K_{m}.*

  The set $\mathcal{O}$ can be written as a countable union of countable open sets and thus $\mathcal{O}$ is countable. Given $x \in \mathcal{O}$, we show that x is in the closure of $K \setminus K'$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, $]x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon[\cap K$ is a topologically complete non empty countable metric space and thus it must contain an isolated point y, so that $y \in K \setminus K'$.

- *Conclusion of the proof.*

  Let S be a set and $f : S \to I\!R$ be a map such that $f(S) = K_{\mathrm{m}}$ and such that for every $x \in K_{\mathrm{m}}$, $f^{-1}(x)$ is countable and has cardinality equal to $\mathfrak{m}(x)$. Set $\mathcal{H} = L^2(K \setminus \mathcal{O}, \mu) \oplus \ell^2(S)$ and $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$, where $A_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}\big(L^2(K \setminus \mathcal{O}, \mu)\big)$ is the multiplication operator by the identity of $K \setminus \mathcal{O}$ and $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{sa}}\big(\ell^2(S)\big)$ is the multiplication operator by f. Note that $\mathcal{H}$ is separable if and only if K_{m} is countable. Thus $\sigma(A) = \sigma(A_0) \cup \sigma(A_1)$. Clearly, $\sigma(A_1)$ is the closure of K_{m}. Since every non empty open subset of $K \setminus \mathcal{O}$ has positive measure μ it follows that $\sigma(A_0) = K \setminus \mathcal{O}$; moreover, since the points of $K \setminus \mathcal{O}$ have null measure μ then A_0 has no eigenvalue. The conclusion follows by observing that, since $\mathcal{O}$ is contained in the closure of K_{m}, the compact set K is the union of $\sigma(A_0)$ and $\sigma(A_1)$. □

Proof of Proposition 31. If $b < +\infty$, apply Lemma 32 to $K = \overline{\mathcal{K}}$, $K_{\mathrm{m}} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and obtain a self-adjoint operator A on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The desired curve T is obtained by setting $T(t) = t \cdot \mathbf{1} - A$, for $t \in [c, b[$. If $b = +\infty$, choose a strictly increasing smooth diffeomorphism $\theta : [c, b[\to [0, 1[$ and apply Lemma 32 to $K = \overline{\theta(\mathcal{K})}$, $K_{\mathrm{m}} = \theta(\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{m}})$, obtaining a self-adjoint operator A. The conclusion is obtained by setting $T(t) = \theta(t) \cdot \mathbf{1} - A$, for $t \in [c, b[$. □

8. A MORSE INDEX THEOREM

In this section we consider a compact segment of geodesic $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ in a Riemannian Hilbert manifold $(M, \mathfrak{g})$. Let $H_0^1(\gamma)$ denote the Hilbert space of vector fields along γ of Sobolev type H^1 vanishing at the endpoints endowed with the inner product:

$$\langle v,w\rangle_{H^1}=\int_a^b\mathfrak{g}\big(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}v}{\mathrm{d}t},\tfrac{\mathrm{D}w}{\mathrm{d}t}\big)\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{8.1}$$

We recall that the *index form* of γ is the symmetric bounded bilinear form I on $H^1_0(\gamma)$ defined by:

$$I(v,w)=\int_a^b\mathfrak{g}\big(\tfrac{\mathrm{D}v}{\mathrm{d}t},\tfrac{\mathrm{D}w}{\mathrm{d}t}\big)+\mathfrak{g}\big(R(\gamma'(t),v(t))\gamma'(t),w(t)\big)\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

For $t\in\,]a,b]$, we denote by $I_t:H^1_0\big(\gamma|_{[a,t]}\big)\times H^1_0\big(\gamma|_{[a,t]}\big)\to I\!R$ the index form corresponding to the geodesic $\gamma|_{[a,t]}$. In what follows we identify the symmetric bilinear form I_t with the self-adjoint operator on $H^1_0\big(\gamma|_{[a,t]}\big)$ that represents it. We will prove a Morse Index Theorem under the assumption that, for all t, the operator I_t has closed range.

While it is very easy to see that the kernel of I_t is isomorphic to the kernel of the differential of the exponential map E_t, it is an interesting point to relate the range of I_t with the range of E_t. We will first assess this point, aiming at a result relating the closedness of $\mathrm{Im}(I_t)$ and that of $\mathrm{Im}(E_t)$. In [4, Theorem 1] the author gives sufficient conditions under which E_t is a Fredholm operator. The hypothesis of [4, Theorem 1] easily implies that I_t is a compact perturbation of the identity and hence also a Fredholm operator. We will show that if E_t has closed range (resp., is Fredholm) then I_t also has closed range (resp., is Fredholm).

In analogy to what was observed in Remark 4, it is sufficient to study the restriction of the index form I to the subspace $H^1_0(\gamma^\perp)$ of $H^1_0(\gamma)$ consisting of vector fields pointwise orthogonal to γ'. Namely, $H^1_0(\gamma)$ can be decomposed into the direct sum of $H^1_0(\gamma^\perp)$ and the space $H^1_0(\gamma^\|)$ of vector fields pointwise parallel to γ'; such decomposition is both $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{H^1}$-orthogonal and I-orthogonal. Moreover, the restriction of I to $H^1_0(\gamma^\|)$ is represented by the identity operator.

Consider the Riemannian symplectic system in $\mathcal{H}=\gamma'(a)^\perp$ associated to the Jacobi equation along γ as described in Example 6. Using parallel transport along γ we identify the space $H^1_0(\gamma^\perp)$ with the space $H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big)$ of maps $v:[a,b]\to\mathcal{H}$ of Sobolev type H^1 such that $v(a)=v(b)=0$. The inner product (8.1) is identified with the inner product $\langle v,w\rangle_{H^1}=\int_a^b\langle v',w'\rangle$ on $H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big)$ and the index form I is identified with the bilinear form $I(v,w)=\int_a^b\langle v',w'\rangle+\langle Rv,w\rangle$ on $H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big)$.

33**. Lemma.** *The image of I is given by:*

$$\mathrm{Im}(I)=\Big\{z\in H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big):P_1\Phi_b\Big(\int_a^b\Phi_t^{-1}\big(z'(t),0\big)\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)\in\mathrm{Im}(E_b)\Big\},$$

where $P_1:\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}\to\mathcal{H}$ denotes the projection onto the first summand.

Proof. Given $v,z\in H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big)$ then $I(v)=z$ if and only if:

$$\int_a^b\langle v'(t)-z'(t),w'(t)\rangle+\langle R_tv(t),w(t)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}t=0,$$

for all $w \in H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big)$. Integration by parts and the fundamental lemma of calculus of variations lead to the differential equation $(v'-z')'(t) = R_t v(t)$, whose solutions are easily computed as:

$$v(t) = P_1\Phi_t\Big(\int_a^t \Phi_s^{-1}\big(z'(s),0\big)\,\mathrm{d}s + c\Big), \quad c \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The conclusion follows by taking into account the boundary conditions $v(a) = v(b) = 0$. □

34**. Proposition.** *If E_t has closed range (resp., is Fredholm) then I_t also has closed range (resp., is Fredholm).*

Proof. The operator $H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big) \ni z \mapsto P_1\Phi_b\Big(\int_a^b \Phi_t^{-1}\big(z'(t),0\big)\,\mathrm{d}t\Big) \in \mathcal{H}$ is continuous. The proof of the proposition follows immediately from Lemma 33, Remark 5 and the fact that the kernels of I_t and of E_t are isomorphic. □

35. *Remark.* It is plausible that the converse of Proposition 34 holds, although the authors are not aware of a proof of this fact. It is not hard to prove the following alternative characterization for the image of I:

$$\mathrm{Im}(I) = \Big\{z \in H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big) : \int_a^b E_t^* R_t z(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \in \mathrm{Im}(E_b^*)\Big\}.$$

Thus, the converse of Proposition 34 holds if the bounded operator $H^1_0\big([a,b],\mathcal{H}\big) \ni z \mapsto \int_a^b E_t^* R_t z(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \in \mathcal{H}$ is surjective. This is the case, for instance, if the operator $R\big(\gamma'(t),\cdot\big)\gamma'(t)$ is invertible at some non conjugate instant $t \in \,]a,b]$. We remark also that the converse of Proposition 34 would follow if one could prove that I_t invertible implies that t is not conjugate. Namely, if I_t has closed range then the proof of the Morse Index Theorem below implies that I_s is invertible for $s \neq t$ near t and thus, if t is conjugate, it should be an isolated conjugate instant. By our Theorem, this implies that E_t has closed range.

36**. Proposition** (Morse Index Theorem)**.** *Let $(M,\mathfrak{g})$ be a Riemannian Hilbert manifold and $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$ a geodesic. Assume that for each $t \in \,]a,b]$, the self-adjoint operator I_t has closed range. Then, the number of monoconjugate instants along γ is finite and the Morse index of $I = I_b$ is equal to the sum of the (possibly infinite) multiplicities of the monoconjugate instants $t \in \,]a,b[$.*

Proof. We employ the ideas and some standard computations in [1, Section 3]. Using affine reparameterization one can identify the space $H^1\big([a,t],\mathcal{H}\big)$ with the space $H^1\big([0,1],\mathcal{H}\big)$, for all $t \in \,]a,b]$. The index form I_t corresponds to a symmetric bilinear form $\hat{I}_t$ on $H^1\big([0,1],\mathcal{H}\big)$. We set $\mathcal{J}_t = (t-a)\hat{I}_t$, so that $\mathcal{J}_a = \lim_{t\to a}\mathcal{J}_t = \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{H^1}$. Clearly $\mathcal{J}_t$ has closed range and it depends smoothly on $t \in [a,b]$, moreover, for $t > a$, $\mathcal{J}_t$ and I_t have the same Morse index. The kernel of $\mathcal{J}_t$ is isomorphic to the orthogonal complement of the range of E_t and such isomorphism carries $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{J}_t$ to $-\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. The conclusion follows from Proposition 21 and Remark 5. □

As an immediate consequence of the Morse Index Theorem, we prove the infinite dimensional analogue of a classical result due to Morse and Littauer (see [8]).

37**. Corollary.** *Let* $(M, \mathfrak{g})$ *be a Riemannian Hilbert manifold and assume that* $\exp_x$ *is a Fredholm map for some* $x \in M$*. Then, if* $v \in T_xM$ *is a singular point of* $\exp_x$ *(i.e.,* $\mathrm{d}\exp_x(v)$ *is not an isomorphism) then* $\exp_x$ *is not injective in any neighborhood of* v *in* T_xM*.*

Proof. By the Morse Index Theorem, each conjugate instant produces a jump in the Morse index of the geodesic action functional and therefore the result is a direct application of Krasnosel'skii's bifurcation theory (see [3]). The details about the application of bifurcation theory to the study of geodesics can be found in [5]. □

REFERENCES

[1] F. Giannoni, A. Masiello, P. Piccione, D. V. Tausk, *A Generalized Index Theorem for Morse–Sturm Systems and Applications to semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Asian Journal of Mathematics Vol. 5, no. 3 (2001).

[2] N. Grossman, *Hilbert manifolds without epiconjugate points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 1365–1371.

[3] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1964.

[4] G. Misiołek, *The exponential map on the free loop space is Fredholm*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997), 954–969.

[5] P. Piccione, A. Portaluri, D. V. Tausk, *Spectral flow, Maslov index and bifurcation of semi-Riemannian geodesics*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **25** (2004), no. 2, 121–149.

[6] P. Piccione, D. V. Tausk, *On the Distribution of Conjugate Points along semi-Riemannian Geodesics*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry **11**, No. 1 (2003), 33–48.

[7] P. Piccione, D. V. Tausk, *Complementary Lagrangians in Infinite Dimensional Symplectic Hilbert Spaces*, math.FA/0410031.

[8] F. Warner, *The conjugate locus of a Riemannian manifold*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 575–604.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA,
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO,
CAIXA POSTAL 66281, CEP 05315–970, SP
BRAZIL
E-mail address: `biliotti@math.unifi.it, exel@mtm.ufsc.br, piccione@ime.usp.br, tausk@ime.usp.br`
URL: `http://www.ime.usp.br/~piccione`
URL: `http://www.ime.usp.br/~tausk`